

Application No. 10/664,894

Second Supplemental Response to Office Action dated March 31, 2008

**REMARKS**

Initially, Applicant would like to thank Examiner Venkat for the helpful phone discussion on December 3, 2008, which materially advanced prosecution in this case.

During the discussion, it was indicated that the present application would be in condition for allowance if claim 43 were directed to a mascara composition and the polymer were limited to non-aromatic polyamides, aromatic polyimide-amides identified in claim 51, and mixtures thereof.

It was also indicated that claims 76-78 and 80 should be canceled, and that claim 79 should be focused on eyelashes.


Finally, it was indicated that the dependency of the remaining claims should be amended to take into account the above claim cancellations.

Application No. 10/664,894  
Second Supplemental Response to Office Action dated March 31, 2008

Applicant respectfully submits that the above amendments address the issues raised in the discussion. Applicant believes that the present application is in condition for allowance. Prompt and favorable consideration is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,  
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.



Richard L. Treanor  
Attorney of Record  
Registration No. 36,379

Jeffrey B. McIntyre  
Registration No. 36,867

Customer Number

**22850**

Tel.: (703) 413-3000  
Fax: (703) 413-2220